WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** 

United States of America v.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Rog	<u>aciano</u>	Lopez-Ramon	Case Number:	16-01008M-001			
			Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 blished: <i>(Check one or both, as applic</i>	2(f), a detention hearing has beer	submitted. I conclude that the			
	•	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.						
A	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.							
			PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT				
	(1)			ant has been convicted of a (feder ircumstance giving rise to federal	al offense)(state or local offense that jurisdiction had existed) that is			
			a crime of violence as defined in	n 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).				
			an offense for which the maximi	um sentence is life imprisonment	or death.			
			an offense for which a maximun	n term of imprisonment of ten yea	rs or more is prescribed in			
			a felony that was committed after offenses described in 18 U.S.C.	er the defendant had been convict § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparab	ed of two or more prior federal le state or local offenses.			
				ned in section 921), or any other d	sion or use of a firearm or destructive angerous weapon, or involves a failure			
	(2)	18 U.S release	J.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on ase pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.					
	(3)	18 U.S convict	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.					
	(4)	will rea	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions vill reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.					
			Alt	ternative Findings				
	(1)	18 U.S	.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable	e cause to believe that the defende	ant has committed an offense			
			for which a maximum term of im	prisonment of ten years or more	is prescribed in1			
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a	n), or 2332b.				
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for prescribed.	which a maximum term of imprise	onment of 20 years or more is			
			an offense involving a minor vict	tim under section	. 5			
	(2)	The de			that no condition or combination of			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $<sup>^{5}</sup> Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\S1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$ 

## Case 2:16-mj-01008-JFM Document 3 Filed 01/07/16 Page 2 of 3

conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

ere is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably sure the appearance of the defendant as required.  condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community. ere is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or midate a prospective witness or juror).  PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION  (Check one or both, as applicable.)  and that the credible testimony and information <sup>6</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing dence as to danger that:			
PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)  Independent of the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing dence as to danger that:			
PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)  Indeed that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing dence as to danger that:			
(Check one or both, as applicable.)  Index that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing dence as to danger that:			
(Check one or both, as applicable.)  Index that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing dence as to danger that:			
dence as to danger that:			
nd that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
nd that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
nd that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
nd that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
nd that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
nd that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
e defendant is not a citizen of the United States.			
e defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
eleased herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs orcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.			
The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
e defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably culated to assure his/her future appearance.			
e defendant has a prior criminal history.			
e defendant lives and works in Mexico.			
e defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has estantial family ties to Mexico.			
ere is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
ere is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  e defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
e e			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

	Case 2:16-mj-01008-JFM Document 3 Filed 01/07/16 Page 3 of 3
	In addition:
	The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.
	PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
in a cori pending order of	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement rections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court sling.
	PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
to delive District ( from the objectio	IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility or a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days to date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written in swith the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. FED.R.CRIM.P.
Pretrial	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to w and investigate the potential third party custodian.
DATE:	January 7, 2016  JAMES F. METCALF
	United States Magistrate Judge